# Model-Based Testing of Software Product Lines

Prof. Dr. Holger Schlingloff Fraunhofer FOKUS & Humboldt Universität

holger.schlingloff@fokus.fraunhofer.de





# **Fraunhofer FOKUS**

#### Fraunhofer Institute for Open Communication Systems

- formed July 2012 by a merger of three institutes (FOKUS, FIRST, ISST)
- located in the center of Berlin
- staff: approx. 500 computer scientists
- main topic: smart cities



Competence Centers:

- Embedded Systems Quality Management
- Modelling and Testing for Systems and Service Solutions
- Next Generation Network Infrastructures
- Electronic Safety and Security Systems for the Public and Industries
- Future Applications and Media

• ....



- 1. Software product lines, feature modeling
- 2. Testing software product lines
- 3. A testing theory based on CSP-CASL
- 4. Implementation and applications





# I. Software Product Lines (SPL)

Concept emerged from CMU SEI in the 1990s Goal: Managing variability in software

Sources of variability

- planned diversity ("basic/professional/ultimate edition")
- user alternatives ("for MAC/Win/Linux")
- evolution ("version 8")
- re-use (different histories)

CMU SEI: "A software product line (SPL) is a set of software-intensive systems that share a common, managed set of features satisfying the specific needs of a particular market segment or mission and that are developed from a common set of core assets in a prescribed way"





# **A Car Configurator**





| 😋 S Ref. Nr. VW-2009/03-171669 - commerce - hs@informatik.hu-berlin.de - Mozilla Thunderbird   |              |  |  |  |
|--|--------------|--|--|--|
| Datei Bearbeiten Ansicht Navigation Nachricht Extras Hilfe   |              |  |  |  |
| 🛃 Abrufen 🔹 🗹 Zurück 🔹 🕨 Vor 🔹 🖋 Verfassen 🔮 Adressbuch 🛛 🗞 Schlagwörter 🔹 🦘 Allen antworten 🛛 Global in allen K   | Conten sı 🔎  |  |  |  |
| QuickFolders 🔅 1. ToDo 2. akquisition 3. antraege 4. commitees 5. projekte   |              |  |  |  |
| 📙 commerce - hs@informatik.hu-berlin.de 🖂 Visitenkarte - 0-ToDo - hs@informat 🛛 🖂 S Ref. Nr. VW-2009/03-171669 🗴 📼   | -            |  |  |  |
|  |              |  |  |  |
| ■<br>Von_nutzfahrzeuge@volkswagen.de☆  | 60           |  |  |  |
| Betreff S Ref. Nr. VW-2009/03-171669 16.03   | 3.2009 14:02 |  |  |  |
| An holger@schlingloff.de🏫  |              |  |  |  |
| VW-2009/03-171669<br>Ihr Wunschfahrzeug: der Caddy Life  | E            |  |  |  |
| Sehr geehrter Herr Professor,,   |              |  |  |  |
| vielen Dank fuer Ihre E-Mail. Ueber Ihr Interesse am Aktionsmodell Gewinner-Caddy freuen wir uns<br>sehr.  | 5            |  |  |  |
| Das Cool and Sound Paket kann nicht bestellt werden, da das Gewicht des Paketes die<br>Gewichtseinschraenkungen fuer den BlueMotion<br>ueberschreiten wuerde.<br>Bei dem BlueMotion Modell besteht die Moeglichkeit entweder das RCD 300 oder die Climatronic zu<br>bestellen. |              |  |  |  |
| Wir bedauern, dass Ihre Wunschausstattung nicht fuer den Gewinner Caddy BlueMotion bestellbar is   | st. 💡        |  |  |  |
|  |              |  |  |  |



#### **Examples for Software Product Lines**

Schindler elevator controls division

- mergers, different devices, new user concepts
- >20 different controllers reduced to 3 product lines

Thales ETCS RBC

- one product (line), highly diverse market
- 700 features, >10<sup>100</sup> variants

#### AUTOSAR ECUs

- 1000s of configuration parameters
- feature model for automated configuration





#### **Features of Product Lines**

- A feature is the description of a designated functionality
- a special added value for the customer
- a characteristics of the product which is interesting for a stakeholder
- e.g., a requirement, a function or function group, or a quality criterion

Typically, products are evolved by adding new features

e.g., Galaxy S4 phone with new IR-emitter diode to work as a remote control for your home TV





# **Feature Modelling**

For multi-variant systems (e.g., product lines), features are represented in a feature model

- usually in a tree-like manner (and / or tree)
- additional constraints (mandatory, optional, includes, excludes)
- nothing more than the graphical representation of a Boolean formula





#### Instantiation ("Materialization")



# **Model-Based SPL Testing Strategies**

Main problem: which variants to choose? (*n* features → 2<sup>n</sup> instances)
The feature model represents a Boolean condition on the set of features
The set of satisfying interpretations forms a partial order

Reasonable choices for variant selection (feature coverage):

- All possible product variants
- All features
- Pairwise
- Minimal and maximal elements
- Selected and unselected
- ...





#### **1. Software product lines, feature modeling**

#### 2. Testing software product lines

**3. A testing theory based on CSP-CASL** 

4. Implementation and applications





#### **Application Scenario**

Test cases are first-class artefacts (manually created, engineered, ...)

Furthermore, systems are not designed "from scratch"Often, both product and test cases pre-exist and make good money

Then, the product is enhanced by new features

And the regression tests fail

Q.: Is this due to a bug in the product (line) or due to a bad test case?



#### The validation triangle



# **Vertical and Horizontal Systems Development**

There are many systems development models. Refinement: means vertical development (top-down)

Product lines are almost never conceived in one go. Enhancement means horizontal development (left-right)





#### **Abstraction and Nondeterminism**

Task: Given a (150%-) model and a test suite, characterize those test cases which should pass.

What does "should pass" mean? (Model used as a test oracle)

In contrast to concrete implementations, models are abstract and, therefore, in general nondeterministic

→ Test result "undecided" at this stage



signal(0)



signal(1)

#### **Three-Valued Test Oracles**

Task: Given a (150%-) model and a test suite, characterize those test cases which should pass.

What does "should pass" mean? (Model used as a test oracle)

Usual test generation algorithms only produce tests that "should pass" How to test that "it must not happen"?

→ Test result "expected fail"









#### **Defining Expected Results**

A test case T is a sequence or tree of i/o-events, potentially with variables

We define the colour of a test with respect to a specification  $colour(T, SP) \in \{\text{green}, \text{yellow}, \text{red}\}$ 

- A test case T is green if
- all of its traces are possible system runs according to the specification,
- whose execution can't be refused



#### T is **red**, if

- not all of its traces are possible system runs

#### T is **yellow**, otherwise



# 1. Software product lines, feature modeling

2. Testing software product lines

#### 3. A testing theory based on CSP-CASL

**4. Implementation and applications** 





# **Example: A Product Line of Remote Control Units**





#### An Abstract RCU

| ccspec ABSRCU =                                   |
|---|
| data  |
| sort Button, Signal                               |
| <b>op</b> $codeOf$ : $Button \rightarrow Signal;$ |
| process   |
| AbsRCU = $?x : Button \rightarrow codeOf(x)$      |
| $\rightarrow AbsRCU$                              |
| end   |

 $\begin{array}{l} A_0: u: Button \to codeOf(u) \to Stop \\ A_1: u: Button \to v: Signal \to Stop \\ A_2: u: Button \to w: Button \to Stop \end{array}$ 

|        | $A_0$ | $A_1$ | $A_2$ |
|--------|-------|-------|-------|
| AbsRCU | G     | Y     | R     |





# A Concretization of AbsRCU (Refinement)





# **Extending the Abstract RCU (Data Enhancement)**

```
ccspec ABSERCU =

data

sorts Button < EButton; Signal

op codeOf : Button \rightarrow Signal;

codeOf : EButton \rightarrow Signal;

process

AbsERCU = ?x : EButton \rightarrow codeOf(x)

\rightarrow AbsERCU

end
```

 $\begin{array}{l} A_0: u: Button \rightarrow codeOf(u) \rightarrow Stop \\ A_1: u: Button \rightarrow v: Signal \rightarrow Stop \\ A_2: u: Button \rightarrow w: Button \rightarrow Stop \end{array}$ 



NOT a refinement:

Signature is extended by supersort EButton; operation codeOf is extended by overloading

Are the test verdicts still valid for this modified specification?





# **Modifier Button (Behavioural Enhancement)**

```
ccspec MERCU =

data ERCUDATA then

free type MButton ::= sort EButton | b_{alt}

sort AltButton = \{x : MButton \bullet x = alt\}

op codeOfAlt : EButton \rightarrow Signal

process

MERCU =

?x : EButton \rightarrow codeOf(x) \rightarrow MERCU

\Box b_{Alt} \rightarrow ?x : EButton \rightarrow codeOfAlt(x)

\rightarrow MERCU

end
```



If arbitrary changes to data and process specification are allowed in the enhancement process, preservation of features cannot be guaranteed.

What are "sensible" restrictions on the evolution of software?



# **Enhancement in CSP-CASL**

Principle:

- Add "more data symbols"
- add "more behaviours"
- however, keep the "old" system

#### Data enhancement:

- Let  $\iota: \Sigma_D \to \Sigma_{D'}$  be a signature embedding.
- 1.  $\mathbf{Mod}(D) = \mathbf{Mod}(D')|_{\iota}$

#### **Process enhancement:**

Let  $\alpha: A \to A'$  be an injective map on event sets.

- Define reducts  $traces(P')|_{\alpha}$ ,  $failures(P)|_{\alpha}$ .
- 2. for all  $M' \in \mathbf{Mod}(D')$ :  $traces(P) = traces(P')|_{\alpha}$  and
- 3. for all  $M' \in \mathbf{Mod}(D')$ :  $failures(P) = failures(P')|_{\alpha}$ .



 $(D,P) \gg (D',P')$ 

#### **Data Enhancement**

Data enhancement can be characterized in terms of a supersort.

Given a map *extend* :  $S \rightarrow S'$  on sort symbols

If

- 1.  $\Sigma$  is embedded into  $\Sigma'$  with the mapping *extend*,
- 2.  $\mathbf{Mod}(D) = \mathbf{Mod}(D')|_{\iota}$ , and
- 3. P' = extend(P),

then  $Sp \gg Sp'$ 



#### **Behavioral Enhancement**

A possible pattern for behavioral enhancement is in terms of external choice

Let

$$\begin{array}{lll} Sp: & P = ?x: s \to P' \\ Sp': & P = ?x: s \to P' & \Box ?y: t' \to Q' \end{array}$$

where

- $\Sigma$  is embedded into  $\Sigma'$ ,  $Mod(D) = Mod(D')|_{\iota}$ , and
- for all  $u \in \Sigma$  it holds that  $D' \models \forall x : u \forall y : t'. x \neq y$ .

*Then*  $Sp \gg Sp'$ 



#### **Re-Use of Test Cases**

#### Theorem:

Let Sp and Sp' be CSP-CASL specifications.

Let  $Sp \gg Sp'$ .

Let T be a test process over Sp.

Then

 $colour_{Sp}(T) = colour_{Sp'}(T).$ 

That is, if the enhancement is done in a "controlled" way, then test cases can be reused in the product line development.



# **Extending Test Suites**

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{ccspec BRCU} = \\ \textbf{data} \\ \textbf{sort } Button, Signal \\ \textbf{ops } b_{0}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{9}, b_{OnOff}, b_{Mute} : Button; \\ \textbf{then } LIST[\textbf{sort } Bit ] \\ \textbf{then} \\ \textbf{sort } Signal = \{l: List[Bit] \bullet \#l = 16\} \\ \textbf{op } codeOf : Button \rightarrow Signal; \\ prefix : List[Bit] = [0000] + +[01010] \\ \textbf{axioms} \\ codeOf(b_{0}) = prefix + +[0000000]; \\ \dots \\ \textbf{process} \\ BRCU = ?x : Button \rightarrow codeOf(x) \rightarrow BRCU \\ \textbf{end} \end{array}
```

```
\begin{array}{l} \textbf{ccspec ERCU} = \\ \textbf{data BRCUDATA then} \\ \textbf{free type } EButton ::= \textbf{sort } Button \\ & & | b_{volup} | b_{voldn} \\ & | b_{chup} | b_{chdn} \\ \textbf{op } codeOf : EButton \rightarrow Signal \\ \textbf{axioms} \\ & codeOf(b_{volup}) = prefix ++[0010000]; \\ & codeOf(b_{voldn}) = prefix ++[0100000]; \\ & codeOf(b_{chup}) = prefix ++[1000000]; \\ & codeOf(b_{chup}) = prefix ++[1000000]; \\ & codeOf(b_{chdn}) = prefix ++[1000000]; \\ & process \\ & \text{ERCU} = ?x : EButton \rightarrow codeOf(x) \rightarrow ERCU \\ \textbf{end} \end{array}
```

$$T_{0}: Stop$$

$$T_{1}: b_{1} \rightarrow Stop$$

$$T_{2}: b_{1} \rightarrow codeOf(b_{1}) \rightarrow b_{6} \rightarrow codeOf(b_{6}) \rightarrow Stop$$

$$T_{3}: b_{1} \rightarrow b_{6} \rightarrow Stop$$

$$T_{4}: b_{0} \rightarrow (prefix + +[0000101]) \rightarrow Stop$$

|      | $T_0$ | $T_1$ | $T_2$ | $T_3$ | $T_4$ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| BRCU | G     | G     | G     | R     | R     |

$$\begin{array}{l} T_{5}: b_{1} \rightarrow codeOf(b_{1}) \rightarrow b_{VolUp} \rightarrow codeOf(b_{VolUp}) \rightarrow Stop \\ T_{6}: b_{ChUp} \rightarrow codeOf(b_{ChUp}) \rightarrow Stop \\ T_{7}: b_{ChDn} \rightarrow codeOf(b_{ChDn}) \rightarrow b_{1} \rightarrow Stop \\ T_{8}: b_{ChUp} \rightarrow b_{VolDn} \rightarrow Stop \\ T_{9}: b_{ChUp} \rightarrow codeOf(b_{VolUp}) \rightarrow Stop \end{array}$$

|      | $T_5$ | $T_6$ | $T_7$ | $T_8$ | $T_9$ |
|------|-------|-------|-------|-------|-------|
| ERCU | G     | G     | G     | R     | R     |



# Software product lines, feature modeling Testing software product lines

**3. A testing theory based on CSP-CASL** 

4. Implementation and applications





#### **Workflow and Tool**



| 00 Testing   | Environment for CS   | P-CASL                       |
|--|--|------------------------------|
| Select TEST CASE: T2   | Exec Tests   | J_BRCU<br>J_EARCU<br>J_MERCU |
| TestCase 2<br>B1 -> codeOF(B1) -> 8<br>Colour: GREEN   | 86 -> codeOf(86) ->  | STOP                         |
| TEST EXECUTION FOR   | - J_BRCU   | ROL PRODUCT LINE             |
| Start time of Test Ca<br>Button 1 pressed at:<br>Has Event Occured:<br>Signal 00000101000<br>Button 6 pressed at:<br>Has Event Occured:<br>Signal 00000101000<br>TIMEOUT> NO | = GREEN<br>23.22:29:25<br>23.22:30:701<br>true<br>000001 showed at: 2<br>23.22:32:331<br>true<br>000110 showed at: 2 | 3.22:30:708<br>3.22:32:337   |
| Test Verdict: PASS   |  |                              |
| <i>c</i>   | 1  | 14.4                         |



#### **Outside the Ivory Tower**



MGS\_ErrorHandling = MGS\_Core /\ InterruptFC



#### **Bringing It Onto a Test Rig**



Set("MasterCrankCnd", 1) 1 WaitTime(2) 21 Set("REngContinuousIGN", 1) 3 WaitTime(2) 4 Set("REngStartCnd", 1) 5 WaitTime(2) 6 Set("REngStartCnd", 0) 7 WaitUntil ("NHP>15") 8 Set("MasterLever", 0) 9 WaitUntil ("LIT==1") 10 L Set("FlightStatus",1) 11 WaitUntil("NHP>65",60) 12 13

Simulation and verification of the model (FDR) Manual test case definition, automated coloring Automated execution and evaluation



# UML CVL (Common Variability Language)



# Variability in CVL

CVL defines different kinds of variation points

- Object existence
  - i.e., some model element is deleted or inserted
- Value assignment

i.e., a variable is assigned a value

- Substitution
  - i.e., one model fragment is replaced by another one
- Opaque variation point
  - i.e., an arbitrary model transformation is applied

Even uncontrolled object existence can cause problems Needed: rules (profile) for variation points



Software product lines, feature modeling
 Testing software product lines
 A testing theory based on CSP-CASL
 Implementation and applications





#### Summary, Remarks and Open Issues

- Software product lines, feature modeling,
- Theory of test case rating based on process algebraic specifications
- Preservation of test ratings under certain refinements and enhancements
- Work in progress (with interruptions)
- Semantical theory, some syntactic results
- Currently working on UML as a modeling language

#### Thank you for your attention!



# Backup Slides



#### **Refinement and Testing**

A refinement relation  $\rightsquigarrow$  is called well-behaved (w-b) if - given  $(Sp, P) \rightsquigarrow (Sp', P')$  for consistent Sp and Sp' for all tests T:

- 1. colour(T) = green with respect to (Sp, P) implies colour(T) = green with respect to (Sp', P'), and
- 2. colour(T) = red with respect to (Sp, P) implies colour(T) = red with respect to (Sp', P').





#### **Mode Buttton**

Re-use of specification modules can be handled by CC's and- and let-concepts





